

**NO SHEETS**

CONFIDENTIAL / ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED  
(CONTAINS PRIEST-PENITENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION)

5 April 2006

**RE: JAMES BARBOUR**

James was arraigned today, his bail was set for \$100,000, he paid and he was released this afternoon and went home. He was charged with rape and sodomy, though it was noted that [REDACTED] i said the acts were consensual.

He next needs to appear for a hearing in New York at 8:30am April 17, 2006.

Late last night a referral of a good NY criminal attorney was gotten from Jerry Feffer, a Charles Claymen. Elliot contacted Claymen this afternoon, briefed him up and Claymen said he is willing to represent James. He said he would most likely have one of his lawyers in the firm by the name of Isabel Kirchton handle the case. Claymen is going to call Don Wager to get a final briefing/turnover from him and asked that James call him on Friday. We will ensure that James does hook up with Claymen on Friday.

Wager also reported that he had a brief comm cycle with one of the NY PD Detectives involved (who came to LA to execute the warrant) and said that he had spoken with [REDACTED] before she filed, that she had specifically said that it was consensual but wanted to report it anyway. The Detective said that he didn't understand why, she didn't explain and went ahead and made the report.

-----

In light of James' statement from last night that something related to this incident came up in a session, further review of his files was done and the following was found which is relevant:

Per an O/W write up he did in January 2004, he wrote that in February 2001 he was instant messaging a young high school student he was mentoring (identified later in the write up as [REDACTED]). Since it was around 1AM, James' then 2D, Dana Stackpole, pointed out that it was inappropriate and that James had no idea what [REDACTED] intentions were. In the O/W write up, James noted that he kept the instant messaging going to "defy Dana". He never specifies what they were discussing in these emails but James wrote that her parents had access to

her computer as it was on the dining room table, and he told Dana there was nothing weird in what he was doing.

Per a session in March 2005, he admitted that he had an "out-2D in NY (March 2001), he had "sexual relations, no intercourse but fooled around two times with [REDACTED]". When asked what exactly, he said that the girl touched his genitals with his clothes on. In this session he never said that she was a minor. Then last month, more came up – he stated that he had [REDACTED] in his apartment alone, she was undressing herself in front of him, coming onto him and they had conversation about their having sex, she was asking if he found her interesting. He didn't state what he said back to this but stated that he had no sexual intercourse with her. He told the auditor that she was 16. Auditor pulled no strings and didn't report this.

In another March 2006 session, it came up that in 2001 in New Jersey he drove a 15 year old wog girl home (not [REDACTED]) and kissed her for 30 seconds before dropping her off. No other data.

At this point, we want to arrange to get James on the meter to find out what this is really all about. Per legal input from Elliot and Moxon, if this interview is conducted by a Church Minister under ministerial circumstances, this is privileged. We will arrange for this to be done somewhere other than CCI.

On [REDACTED], the invest needs to be completed to ID her psych, complete PRC on her and her family and pull any strings on what brought this about.